UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,307	12/23/2005	Johan Adriaan Martens	50304/107001	3753	
	21559 7590 10/20/2009 CLARK & ELBING LLP			EXAMINER	
101 FEDERAL	STREET		SILVERMAN, ERIC E		
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/20/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentadministrator@clarkelbing.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/562,307	MARTENS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ERIC E. SILVERMAN	1618
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 100-105 and 107-121 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 109-119 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 100-103,105,107,108,120 and 121 7) ☐ Claim(s) 104 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	is/are rejected.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a contract any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the contract and the contract and the correct and the contract and th	ccepted or b) objected to by the le drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selection is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-13-09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7-13-09 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 7/13/09 is sufficient to overcome the anticipation rejection based upon Kirschhock.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 100, 105, and 121 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huo et al. (cited on IDS filed 7/13/2009).

Huo et all disclose the synthesis of a zeolite structure made by the condensation of nano-structures ("nanoslabs"). Figure 1C shows a graph of adsorbed volume vs. relative pressure. This graph shows two upward curves, and is similar in shape to that

Art Unit: 1618

of the curve in Figure 1 in the declaration that corresponds to a structure with two degrees of porosity.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 100-103, 105, 101, 108, 120 and 121 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The description indicates on page 22 that only certain zeolite frameworks ("building units") are appropriate for use in the invention. Thus, it cannot be said that Applicants envisioned any "silica material" for use in the invention. As the description points out, different materials have significant differences in crystal structure and pore structure. Also, "silica material" may embrace mixtures of silica with other materials, which is not envisioned in the original description. Furthermore, the description does not envision assembly of nanoslabs having different sizes. Obtaining the product, which has a controlled structure and therefore a controlled porosity, depends on controlling the stacking of nanoslabs. The description does not envision a way to control the stacking of nanoslabs that have different sizes, and it is not apparent that the techniques used in the specification are applicable to nanoslabs that are not of the substantially uniform

Art Unit: 1618

size. Additionally, because the building blocks are disclosed to be mesoporous, the disclosure does not describe situations where the mesoporosity is other than between the nanoslabs, as in claim 104 (thus claim 104 is not included in this rejection).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 104 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The process claims are rejected, but would be allowable if they were amended to depend on or otherwise include all the limitations of claim 104. Withdrawn process claim 118 and withdrawn product claim 119 could be rejoined if (1) an amendment is submitted putting the pending claims in condition for allowance as discussed above, and (2) the withdrawn claims are made to depend on or otherwise include all the limitations of claim 104.

It is not clear that claim 109 can be properly rewritten to include the limitations of claim 104, or otherwise depend on claim 104. If such an amendment is possible, then claim 109, and claims dependent thereon, would be allowable upon such amendment.

Conclusion

Claims 100-103, 105, 101, 108, 120 and 121 are rejected. Claim 104 is objected to. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ERIC E. SILVERMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-5549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:00 am to 5:00 pm and Friday 7:00 am to noon.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,307

Art Unit: 1618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on 571 272 0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eric E Silverman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618